

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,542	03/02/2004	Vinay G. Sakhrani	TFR-001	3383
48366 7	590 11/14/2005		EXAM	INER
DAVID P. HI	ENDRICKS	PADGETT, MARIANNE L		
LAW OFFICE	OF DAVID P. HEND			
PO BOX 37127			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RALEIGH, NC 27627			1762	
		DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/791,542	SAKHRANI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
re-mailed	Marianne L. Padgett	1762		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set of extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/2/04 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Ex 	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or e Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	election requirement.	·		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed a Applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11).	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) X Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate. <u>IO/2-5</u> atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/791,542 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9 & 19-24, drawn to a process for coating a surface with lubricant, classified in class 427, subclass 384+ or 488+ or 525 or 535+ or 551.
- II. Claims 10-18 & 25-31, drawn to a lubricant coated surface, classified in class 428, subclass 421 or 447.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product can be made by many different processes, since its only necessary structure consists of a generic lubricant on a generic substrate, except in claims 13 or 29, where generic compound species are listed. As the energy exposure (before or after) has no necessary effect, processes that apply no energy could have identical results, which since unspecified, cannot be determined with any necessity. Note since all energy or even all plasma gases or all ionizing radiation will not effect all types of lubricants or all possible substrates (second series of each set of claims) in the same way, use of them can not be said to have any necessary effect, especially since the parameters employed (unspecified) may create significantly different effects on a single particular material.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to David Hendricks on 10/4/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Mr. Hendricks return message requested mailing of the restriction at the request of applicants.

- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marianne L. Padgett whose telephone number is (571) 272-1425. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from about 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks, can be reached at (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

Application/Control Number: 10/791,542

Art Unit: 1762

Page 4

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MLP 10/6/2005

MARIANNE PADGETT